

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/046,061	-	01/11/2002	Mohamed Alam	ALAM-FULL	2788	
4988	7590	10/15/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
ALFRED 225 OLD			CORBIN, ARTHUR L			
MELVILLE, NY 11747-2712				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1761	1761	
				DATE MAIL ED: 10/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/046,061	ALAM, MOHAMED
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
:		Arthur L Corbin	1761
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
I HE - Ext - afte - If tt - If N - Fai - Any - ear	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ers SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTAN Concerns APANCO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03-25</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⋈ 7)⋈ 8)□ Applicat 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or cion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to a population and a comparison of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the drawing sheet(s) including sheet(s) including sheet(s) including sheet(s) including sheet(s) including sheet(s)	election requirement. pted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So is required if the drawing(s) is defined to the drawing(s).	e Examiner. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicaty documents have been recei (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
\ttachmen	t(s)		
!) ☐ Notic i) ☑ Infori	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 03-25-03.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/046,061 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

1. Claims 1-4, 12, 14 and 20-27 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the submitted July 28, 2004.

- 2. Claims 5, 8, 10 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 5, step b), line 5, and claim 8, step b), line 6 "by volume" should be added before "of" (first occurrence). In claim 8, step a), "products" should be singular. In claim 10, "products" should be singular. Since claim 12 is withdrawn, claim 13 should be rewritten in independent form. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 is indefinite as to scope since it is not clear what volume is used for each component. Only one of the column amounts should be claimed, and the table and "Note" be deleted. Corrections are required.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,061

Art Unit: 1761

6. Claims 5-11, 13 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Time Life Books Recipes: The Cooking of India, page 43 in view of Powell, Brotsky and British patent 18,345.

The primary reference discloses stirring raw deveined shrimp in a marinade including lemon juice, vinegar, turmeric and salt, then removing the shrimp and cooking them. It would have been obvious to include lime juice in the marinade since lime juice has been used to flavor seafood products in combination with lemon juice, as evidenced by Powell (column 7, lines 30-40). Further, it would have been obvious that the marinade will clean and disinfect the shrimp since lemon juice is known to aid in improving fish shelf life, as evidenced by Brotsky (column 2, line 66 to column 3, line 3 and columns 4-6), and since vinegar (acetic acid) and salt are known fish preservatives, evidenced by the British patent. Finding the optimum amount of each component and optimum stirring and marinating time periods (claims 5, 7-9 and 13) would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art. Rinsing and spraying (claims 15 and 16) are well-known and viable alternative steps to soaking and dipping in order to contact food products with marinades.

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday--Friday from 10:30 to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,061

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.L. Corbin/dh October 12, 2004

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

10-14,04